The All Wales Annual Performance Report 2015-2016

The Annual Performance Report (APR) is a factual public document which outlines how a local planning authority has performed against set indicators identifying what it did well so that this can be shared with others, and what steps might be taken to address areas of performance in need of improvement.

The requirement for an APR was proposed as a result of the "Positive Planning" consultation in December 2013. Welsh Government (WG) consulted on a series of proposals for measuring the performance of key stakeholders in the planning service which includes local planning authorities.

Following adoption of the performance framework indicators in November 2014, local planning authorities must submit an APR every November with the first BCBC report submitted in November 2015 and the second (and latest) report submitted in October, 2016.

A copy of the Minister's (second) All Wales Annual Performance Report for the period 2015-2016 and published in January, 2017 can be found using this link:

http://gov.wales/topics/planning/planningstats/annual-performance-report/?lang=en

A copy of the Planning Performance Framework Table for the period is attached at Annex 1.

The report summarises the performance of the Planning Division and the Planning Inspectorate within the WG (section 2) and all 25 LPAs in Wales (section 3) against the agreed indicators over the period April 2015 to March 2016 as well as performance trend over time.

The performance framework is intended to identify examples of good performance by planning authorities in delivering a planning service for Wales, as well as opportunities for improvement.

As mentioned in the Minister's Executive Summary, a clear theme emerging from the performance reports is one of the increasing pressure on the planning system arising from public sector restraint and she is encouraged by the work undertaken by some Local Planning Authorities to standardise resources and share expertise and Planning should play a full part in the discussions relating to greater regionalisation of service delivery.

Broadly, performance against the majority of indicators has improved when compared to the 2014-15 reporting period.

Bridgend's performance is indicated as being generally at or above average across the board for this period.

- The LPA had a 5.4 year housing land supply when the average across Wales was 3.9 years (ranked 5 out of 25)
- The LPA took an average time of 60 days to determine all planning applications when the average across Wales was 77.2 days (ranked 2 out of 25)

- The LPA had a 80% of appeals dismissed in this period when the all Wales average was 66.5% (ranked 5 out of 25)
- The LPA took enforcement action, or a retrospective application was received, within 180 days from the start of the case on 92% of the cases compared to the all Wales average of 72.8% (ranked 1 out of 25)
- The LPA took an average of 68 days to resolve enforcement complaints compared to the all Wales average of 210.1 days (ranked 2 out of 25)

Recommendation:

That the content of the report of the Corporate Director Communities and the Minister's All Wales Planning Performance Report 2015-2016 be noted.

MARK SHEPHARD
CORPORATE DIRECTOR COMMUNITIES

Background Papers

ANNEX 1 - PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK TABLE - FINANCIAL YEAR 2015/16

ANNEX A

PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK TABLE - FINANCIAL YEAR 2015/16

MEASURE GOOD GOOD FAIR	Plan mathy is there a current Development Plan in place that is within the plan period?	LDP preparation deviation from the dates specified in the original Delivery ct2 13-17 Agreement in months	Annual Monitoring Reports produced Yes following LDP adoption	The local planning authority's current 5+ nousing land supply in years	and the second s	andiandy December of "major" sonifications	determined within time periods required	Average time taken to determine "major" applications in days	olications determined	within time periods required 75.9	4verage time taken to determine all c7.111		The state of applications defermined and elegated powers	Percentage of Member made decisions <5.9		ercentage of appeals dismissed 65.9	Applications for costs at Section 78 appeal upheld in the reporting period		opercurrent oes the local planning authority allow Tea isoning committee? Yea	Ooes the local planning authority have an very conduly to provide advice to members of the public?	Oces the local planning authority's web ask have an online register or planning applications, which members of the public can access, track their progress (and view plane) prescentially leave confacility.	lorcement	Percentage of enforcement cases investigated (determined in whether a breach of planning control has occurred and, if so, and other analysis of the control enforcement action is expediently within & days	Average time taken to investigate enforcement cases	Percentage of enforcement cases where enforcement action in taken or a retrospective application received within 180 days from the start of the case (in cases where it was expedient to enforce)?	Average time taken to take enforcement action
IMP ROVE	No	17 18•	N.	٨	L					ê	111			÷ 6	1	- e	3.		No.	N ₀	ů.					
WALES	**	42	Yes	3.9		38	267 of 756	212.7	76.7	19361 of 25247	-		93.1	9.0 157 of	1737	294 of 442	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		79.4	88.1	72.8	210.1
Blamas Gwett	翠		Yes	2		88	60111	267.0	87.3	316 of			89.8	10.8	i c		0		巍	ě	2		91.8	35.5	90.1	103.0
arrosee BresenB And Innollari	Yes		ON	5.8		0	0.017	196.0	88.7	533 of 601	999		216	8.0		0003	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		98.6	563	59.3	372.3
bregistil	翠		Yès	25		30	9 of 30	270.0	76.8	615 of 801	59.7		917	1.4	ā	8 of 10	0		築	2	Yes Se		87.9	36.3	7.19	68.0
Cearbining	Yes		Yes	1.9		20	ŏ	169.8	66.2	684 of 1034			91.4	7.9	46	-	-		X8	, Yes	Yes		95.1	43.0	63.7	238.5
#P#O	Yes			5.2		27	14 of 52	266.0	7.4.7	2282 of 3053	79.0		0'26	1.1	600	22 of 35	0		XB.	Yes	Yes		No Data	No Data	81.0	No Data
erininsthirmico	Yes			3.7		11	9 of 52	268.0	70.4	1028 of 1461	92.8		3.	10.5		17 of 24	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		089	142.7	78.0	141.8
Cereddon	凝		sak	3.3		22	4 of 18	412.0	63.9	553 of 865	116.5		91.2	50.0		5018	0		Yes	ON.	Partai		91.8	35.8	37.2	311.3
cows	Yes		SaY	7		23	8 of 35	160.8	277	621 of 799	74.0		929	5.3		10 of 15	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		100.0	13.0	81.0	194.0
Oenidafahire	¥2.		SAY	2.1		13	3 of 23	189.3	71.8	647 of 901	71.5		93.1	32.3	56.7	10 01 15	-		\$ <u>\$</u>	ş <u>ē</u>	Yes		80.0	38.0	777	510.0
eldah!	Yes			0		23	13 of 45	178.0	77.3	928 of 1200	72.3		036	11.7	80	14 of 26	-		Yes	Yes	Yes		78.3	114.8	83.7	114.8
ppeuling	28. 28.	16		3.3		51	18 of 35	231.3	83.0	852 of 1026	60.0		606	14.0		19 01 27	2		\$ <u>\$</u>	2	Yes		88.5	85.0	77.1	149.3
(manifully popular	8	3		0		57	10 of 22	152.3	9.69	596 of 856	85.5		88.4	1.0	807	7 of 16	0		Yes	Yes	9		893	85.0	79.3	130.3
HeyT retheld	NA.		Yes	2.8		81	13 of 16	8.701	696	373 of 385	48.3		92.5	0.0	2	5 of 7	0		2	Yes	Yes		1000	3.3	898	89.0
a HarlanmoM	Yes		Yes	2		99	13 of 20	120.5	79.4	862 of 1085			93.7	1.5	20.07	14 of 20	0		Yes	7ès	Yes		100.0	16.3	9 69	142.8
odleT non ribon Troaven	额			5.5		28	7 0125	368.5	80.0	650 of 813	66.5		97.5	15.0	4	7 0111	0		<u>\$8</u>	SĀ.	Yes		7.79	87.8	1.19	8.65
rowerd Pembrokenter	Yes			6.3		22	100	229.0	72.7	820 of 1128	75.5		28.0	5.3		. 5	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		922	34.5	79.3	151.3
evine stort me/l	凝		Yes	2		33	10 of 30	144.3	78.1	774 of 991	91.8		93.3	6.1	0	16 of 18	0		\$ <u>\$</u>	SS.	SS.	-	37.4	394.0	43.7	439.5
Powys Park Powys	Yes		Yes	1.8		=	1 0/9	203.5	71.8	377 of 6 525	61.3		916	4.5	-	3 013	0		Yes	Yes	Partial		78.2	129.7	73.4	332.3
Paranda Oynor	Nes Services	25		1.9	-	37	19 0151 12	146.3	58.6	653 of 10	138.3	-	91.2	5.1		8 01 11 14	0	-	Nes Services	Yes	24k		797	451.3 N	37.8	520.8
PL	Yes		Yes	2.4		38	2 0132	345.8		1338	Н		010	14.2	64.7	2	0		SS ,	, Kes	Yes		92.6	o Data	¥07	112.7
keamme	额		Yes	7		0	0 of 2 15	1 265.0 1	71.5	343 of 16			93.5	16.1	000	m	0		<u> </u>	yes.	ye.		6.86	14.3	0.69	312.0 2
metoT	Yes	36		3		88	01.42	1 07.6	84.2	1554 of 5			296.7	9.8	4 7	0166	0		Yes	Yes	Yes		32.4	8.071	42.0	272.3
₽₩A	38. 18.		Yes	4.8	-	38	50f13 18	144.0	83.4	501 of 10 601	27		92.7	000		014 24	0	-	<u> </u>	SE A	2		1.66	6.5	692	177.5
Gemorgen	2	73		0		38	0147	140.0	85.7	1003 of 7.	299		35.4	000		of 28	0		Yes	y Kes	y Jes		1 8.06	98	0.87	163.7
	2			0		79	45 of 58	180,0	90.3	732 of 811	94.2	1	78.3	1.7	0	20 of 25	2		\$P	Yes	ye.		0.001	33	477	78.1