The Annual Performance Report

The Annual Performance Report (APR) is a factual public document which outlines how a local planning authority has performed against set indicators identifying what it did well so that this can be shared with others, and what steps might be taken to address areas of performance in need of improvement.

The requirement for an APR was proposed as a result of the "Positive Planning" consultation in December 2013. Welsh Government (WG) consulted on a series of proposals for measuring the performance of key stakeholders in the planning service which includes local planning authorities. WG worked with the Welsh Local Government Association (WLGA) and the Planning Officer's Society for Wales (POSW) to agree a report structure.

Following adoption of the performance framework indicators in November 2014, local planning authorities must submit an APR every November with the first reports due this year on November 20th.

A copy of the performance table is attached. This table reports on the performance of all Welsh local planning authorities against the agreed indicators, over the period July 2014 to June 2015. The performance framework is intended to identify examples of good performance by planning authorities in delivering a planning service for Wales, as well as opportunities for improvement. There are a number of anomalies on the statistical table, which are being addressed by Welsh Government, however Bridgend's performance is indicated as being generally above average across the board for this period.

As well as the statistical information described above the APR contains sections describing the context of the local area, current issues, development plan status and links to other Council strategies, the planning service and its relationship to the Council's structure, budgets and corporate issues as well as service constraints and user satisfaction.

A draft APR is currently being produced and Members will be updated once the document has been finalised.

The relevant pages on the Welsh Government Web site are available here:-

http://gov.wales/topics/planning/planningstats/performance-framework/?lang=en

For information

Recommendation:

That the report of the Corporate Director Communities be noted.

MARK SHEPHARD CORPORATE DIRECTOR COMMUNITIES

Background Papers

None.

				D	۲ ک	Z	า PE	RFC	RM/	LANNING PERFORMANCE		ME	 O	FRAMEWORK TABL	ΔRI	E - J	YJU	2014	LOT	UNE	201	CJ							
MEASURE	GOOD	FAIR	IMPROVE	WALES AVERAGE Beelal Gwelt	Breco I Beacols	Brecol Beacols National Park	Cærpilly	Cardiff	Carmartile is life	Cendigion			De ublightshire	F lints hire	Gwyredd								Rioidda Cyroi	Taf Suowdou la	National Park Swanse a	Tortae	Valt of	G bimo gai Wrex i am	
Plan making Is there a current Development Plan in place that is within the plan period?	Yes	7	N _o	gs.	g ^c	Œ	Ϊ́ς	gs.	No	ĭĶ (R	gs.	Œ,	Œ	rgs	Œ	8	g ^c	Ø.	gs.	g ^c	(Ř	rés	es es	Ø.	Yes	Yes .	(Ř	8	8
LDP preparation deviation from the dates specified in the original Delivery	42 13	13-17 1	₽	8					70						22	% 4							24			8		8	
ports produced	Yes	7	N _O	Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes						Yes				œ	Yes		ř	Yes				
The local planning authority's current housing land supply in years	>5 4.	4-4.9	4	3.7	2	5.8	5.4	1.9	0	3.7	3.3	4	2.1	3.7	3.2	0	28	5	2.5	6.3	5.3	1.8	1.9	2.4	7	з	4.8	0	0
Efficiency																													
Percentage of "major" applications				24	21	30	18	19	9	34	22	19	33	20	\$	21	75	36	30	16	18	13	27	3	0	9	36	23	31
determined within time periods required				166 of 3	3 of 14	3 of 10	4 of 22	5 of 27	4 of 48	16 of 47	4 of 18	5 of 27	6 of 18	11 of 55	12 of 25	3 of 14	12 of 18	5 of 14	7 of 23 7	of 43	8 of 44	1 of 8	13 of 49	5 of 38	0 of 1	3 of 33	5 of 14	9 of 39 1	15 of 49
Average time taken to determine "major" applications in days				217.5	186.3	187.0	59.3	136.3	114.7	156.7	360.3	319.3	154.0	190.0	314.7	1227	61.0	94.0	148.0	263.7	154.3	85.7	51.7	470.0	lo Data	213.0	lo Data N	o Data N	lo Data
plications determined	>80 60 7	60.1- 79.9	<60	71.9	79.1	83.6	80.5	65.9	60.9	73.5	45.9	75.1	72.3	73.2	75.6	73.4	95.2	75.3	77.5	68.9	66.5	82.1			75.5	75.4	68.4	80.9	80.7
within time periods required				17592 of 3 24451	317 of 401	454 of 543	619 of 769	670 of 1017	1669 of 2741	1156 of 1573	327 of 713	600 of 799	765 of 1058	936 of 1278	682 of 902	624 of 850	299 of 314	795 af 1056	643 of 830	760 of 1103	610 of 917	477 of 581	504 of 927	1133 of 1453	345 of 457	1356 of 1799	355 of 9	922 of 5	574 of 711
Average time taken to determine all applications in days				77.7	80.3	65.7	19.7	_	26.0	53.3	161.0	84.0	77.3	77.3	80.7	72.3	49.3		84.7	920	84.7	35.7	23.3	79.7	25.0	64.0	lo Data N	o Data N	lo Data
Quality																													
Percentage of Member made decisions against officer advice				12.7 161 of 1270	17.6 6 of 3.4	9.7 3 of 31	0.0 0 of 45	4.9 3 of 61	4.9 4 of 81	9.8 8 of 82	53.2 25 of 47	7.1 3 of 42	20.0 10 of 50	20.0 11 of 55	11.6 10 of 86	5.4 4 of 74	0.0 0 of 26	4 of 45	4.8 1 of 21	4 of 50	1.7 1 of 60	8.0 2 of 25	21.9 7 of 32	5 of 89	42.4 14 of 33	35.4 23 of 65	0.0 N	do Data 13	11.1 3 of 117
Percentage of appeals dismissed	98 55	55.1- 65.9	ŝ	94.3	0.00	40.0	S8 33	78.6	69.0	83.6	85.7	86.7	38 55	40.0	41.7	50.0	85.7	67.9	62.5	80.8	71.4	0.08	64.7	62.5	0.08	53.0	0.00	73.5	76.5
Applications for costs at Section 78 appeal upheld in the reporting period	0		2	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	_	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
Engagement Does the local planning authority allow		ı		I												ı	ı	ı				ı							
	Yes	7	N _o	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	ģ	Ē	Ŕ	ξ	Ŕ	g	S.	Ŕ	8	Ŕ	Ŕ	ģ	es es	Ŕ	ξ	Yes	Ŕ	8	Ř
Does the local planning authority have an officer on duty to provide advice to members of the public?	Yes	7	N _o																										
authority's web ster of planning mbers of the public progress (and view	Yes P.	Part- N	N _O	Ř	₹	Œ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Partial	ď	ď	Ŕ	Œ	N _o	ď	Ŕ	és	es es	œ	Partial	ě.	Œ	r.	ě	8	Ŕ	Œ
Enforcement											_																		
Percentage of enforcement cases investigated (determined whether a breach of planning control has occurred and, if so, resolved whether or not enforcement action is expediently within 38 at Jays.				712	84.5	67.9	88 U1	74.9	57.1	88 33	81.1	86.0	83	85.1	78.8	74.1	94.3	90.8	87.4	50.2	61.6	72.9	31.0	73.8	76.4	33.1	85.0	100.0	95.5
Average time taken to investigate enforcement cases				8.80	26.0	38.3	25.7	31.0	No Data	No Data	42.7 N	No Data	No Data	423	No Data	35.0	4.7	18.0	2.7	17.7	224.3 N	I o Data	293.7	lo Data	4.0	78.7	2.3 N	o Data	1.3
Percentage of enforcement cases where enforcement adion is taken or a retro spective application received within 180 days from the start of the case (in those cases where it was expedient to enforce).				76.3	68.9	59.6	91.2	67.2	75.0	84.9	64.5	No Data	No Data	78.2	79.9	77.9	89.6	68.7	86.6	821	725	75.0	49.5	87.3	55.0	48.4	72.7	100.0	74.1
Average time taken to take enforcement action				181.5	123.7	228.7	57.0	223.7	47.7	84.7	254.7	No Data	No Data	186.7	123.7	86.3	927	120.3	83.3	158.7	241.7	59.0	354.0	96,3	166.0	227.3	130.3 N	No Data N	No Data